

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,718	03/26/2004	Shigeo Takenaka	250760US2S CONT	8085
22850	22850 7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			COLON, GERMAN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/809,718	TAKENAKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		German Colón	2879			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10,11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the	application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment, filed on June 08, 2005, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

2. Cancellation of claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The claim recites the limitation of each of the second spacers being aligned with the first spacer. However, since there is a plurality of second spacers, they should be aligned with the (plurality of) first spacers.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fahlen et al. (US 5,667,418).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 17, Fahlen discloses an image display device comprising (see at least Figs. 1-2B):

a first substrate 202 including an image display surface and a metal back formed on the image display surface (see at least Col. 27, lines 23-25);

a second substrate 203 opposed to the first substrate across a gap and including a plurality of electron sources which excite the image display surface (see Fig. 2A and 9A);

a grid 206 provided between the first and second substrates and including a first surface opposed to the first substrate, a second surface opposed to the second substrate, and a plurality of beam passage apertures opposed to the electron sources;

a plurality of spacers which maintain the space between the first substrate and the second substrate, the spacers including a plurality of columnar first spacers 208 set up on the first surface of the grid; and a plurality of columnar second spacers 207 set up on the second surface of the grid, the second spacers having a surface resistance lower than a surface resistance of the first spacers (see Col. 17, 58-63); and

a voltage supply unit 110 (see drawings and respective description).

Regarding claim 18, Fahlen discloses each of the first spacers being set up on the first surface of the grid between passage apertures, and

each of the second spacers being set up on the second surface of the grid between the beam passage apertures and aligned with the first spacers (see at least Fig. 2A).

Regarding claim 19, Fahlen discloses the first spacers being shorter than the second spacers in height (see Col. 6, line 65 to Col. 7, line 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10-11, 13-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fahlen et al. (US 5,667,418) in view of Anderson et al. (US 5,811,927).

Referring to claim 10, Fahlen discloses an image display device comprising (see at least Figs. 1-2B):

a first substrate 202 including an image display surface and a metal back formed on the image display surface (see at least Col. 27, lines 23-25);

a second substrate 203 opposed to the first substrate across a gap and including a plurality of electron sources which excite the image display surface (see Fig. 2A and 9A);

a grid 206 provided between the first and second substrates and including a first surface opposed to the first substrate, a second surface opposed to the second substrate, and a plurality of beam passage apertures opposed to the electron sources;

a plurality of spacers which maintain the space between the first substrate and the second substrate, the spacers including a plurality of columnar first spacers 208 set up on the first surface of the grid; and a plurality of columnar second spacers 207 set up on the second surface of the grid, the first spacers being shorter than the second spacers in height (see Col. 6, line 65 to Col. 7, line 3); and

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718

Art Unit: 2879

a voltage supply unit 110 (see drawings and respective description). Fahlen is silent regarding the limitation of height correcting layers interposed between the respective first spacers and the first substrate.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Anderson discloses an image display having a plurality of spacers (see at least Fig. 10), and teaches to provide height correcting layers 112 with the purpose of providing a substantially uniform load distribution among the spacers by adjusting their height (see Col. 2, lines 10-14; and Col. 7, lines 41-51). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include height correcting layers to the device of Fahlen in order to obtain a substantially uniform load distribution among the spacers, reducing the variation in height within the device, and consequently, reducing breakage of the spacers or of the device.

Referring to claim 11, Fahlen discloses each of the first spacers being set up on the first surface of the grid between passage apertures, and

each of the second spacers being set up on the second surface of the grid between the beam passage apertures and aligned with the first spacers (see at least Fig. 2A).

Referring to claim 13, Fahlen-Anderson discloses the height correcting layer having a resistance lower than that of the spacers (see materials disclosed for height correcting layer and spacer).

Referring to claim 14, Fahlen discloses the second spacers having a surface resistance lower than a surface resistance of the first spacers (see Col. 17, 58-63).

In regards to claims 15 and 20, Fahlen-Anderson discloses the surface of the grid and an inner surface of each beam passage aperture being subjected to a high-resistance surface

treatment (see US '418, at least Col. 14, lines 41-43; Col. 17, lines 51-53; and Col. 19, lines 26-

48). Fahlen-Anderson is silent regarding the resistance being set to E+8 Ω/\Box .

However, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in

Page 6

the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable value of a result effective variable involves

only routine skill in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to provide the surface of the grid and an inner surface of

each beam passage aperture with a resistance of E+8 Ω/\Box , since optimization of workable ranges

is considered within the skill of the art.

In regards to claim 16, Fahlen-Anderson discloses the claimed invention except for the

limitation of the second voltage applied to the grid being set less than or equal to 1.5 times as

high as the first voltage applied to the first substrate.

However, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in

the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable value of a result effective variable involves

only routine skill in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to provide the second voltage applied to the grid being set

less than or equal to 1.5 times as high as the first voltage applied to the first substrate, since

optimization of workable ranges is considered within the skill of the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718 Page 7

Art Unit: 2879

Prior Art of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

US 5,754,003, discloses a device with height adjusting layers for the spacers.

US 6,617,798; US 6,034,810; US 5864205 and US 6,320,310 disclose a device with

spacer structures of different height.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to German Colón whose telephone number is 571-272-2451. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ec gc

MARICELI SANTIAGO
PRIMARY EXAMINER